Bourgain's slicing problem and KLS isoperimetry up to polylog

Mira Gordin

Princeton University

November 1, 2023

after Klartag and Lehec, [2022](#page-35-0)

1 [Introduction to Bourgain's slicing problem](#page-2-0)

- 2 [Preliminaries](#page-11-0)
- **3** [Related problems: KLS conjecture](#page-24-0)
- 4 [Main result of Klartag and Lehec, 2022](#page-25-0)
- **5** [Stochastic localization](#page-28-0)

Statement of Bourgain's slicing problem

Bourgain's slicing problem

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body of volume 1. Is there a hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$
\mathsf{Vol}_{n-1}(K\cap H) > c
$$

for some universal constant $c > 0$?

Statement of Bourgain's slicing problem

Bourgain's slicing problem

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body of volume 1. Is there a hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$
\mathsf{Vol}_{n-1}(K\cap H) > c
$$

for some universal constant $c > 0$?

Denote

Statement of Bourgain's slicing problem

Bourgain's slicing problem

Is there a universal constant $C > 0$ such that $L_n \leq C$?

Denote

$$
\frac{1}{L_n} := \inf_{K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-1}(K \cap H).
$$

Main Result of Klartag and Lehec, [2022](#page-35-0)

Theorem 1 (Klartag and Lehec, [2022\)](#page-35-0)

For any $n \geq 2$,

$$
L_n \leq C(\log n)^4
$$

for some universal constant C.

Main Result of Klartag and Lehec, [2022](#page-35-0)

Theorem 1 (Klartag and Lehec, [2022\)](#page-35-0)

For any $n > 2$,

$$
L_n \leq C(\log n)^4
$$

for some universal constant C.

Previous results:

- Chen, [2021](#page-35-2) showed $C_{\varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon} \geq L_n$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$,
- Bourgain, 1991; Klartag, 2006 showed $C'n^{1/4} \geq L_n$.

Intuition from Busemann-Petty problem

Busemann-Petty problem

Let K, T be centrally symmetric convex bodies $\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$
\forall \theta \in S^{n-1}, \quad \text{Vol}_{n-1}(K \cap \theta^{\perp}) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-1}(T \cap \theta^{\perp}). \tag{*}
$$

Is it true that $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(T)$?

Intuition from Busemann-Petty problem

Busemann-Petty problem

Let K, T be centrally symmetric convex bodies $\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$
\forall \theta \in S^{n-1}, \quad \text{Vol}_{n-1}(K \cap \theta^{\perp}) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-1}(T \cap \theta^{\perp}). \tag{*}
$$

Is it true that $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(T)$?

Answer: it depends!

$$
n \le 4 \rightarrow \text{YES!}
$$

$$
n \ge 5 \rightarrow \text{NO!}
$$

Counterexample for Busemann-Petty problem

 $n \geq 10$.

Let $K = \left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]$ $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$], Vol_n $(K) = 1$.

Let $T =$ Euclidean ball of volume $\frac{9}{10}$ centered at origin.

However,

$$
\mathsf{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathsf{K} \cap \theta^\perp) \leq \sqrt{2} < 0.9\sqrt{e} \approx \frac{\left(0.9 \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)\right)^{(n-1)/n}}{\Gamma \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} = \mathsf{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{T} \cap \theta^\perp)
$$

K. Ball, 1988.

Equivalent statement to Bourgain's slicing problem

Modified Busemann-Petty problem

Let K, T be centrally symmetric convex bodies $\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $(*)$.

Is it true that $Vol_n(K) \leq C Vol_n(T)$ for some universal constant $C > 0$ independent of the dimension n ?

Log-concave & isotropic measures

We call a Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n is *log-concave* if for any compact subsets $A, B \subseteq \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, we have

$$
\mu(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \geq \mu(A)^{\lambda} \mu(B)^{1-\lambda}.
$$

Classical examples:

- uniform measure on any compact, convex set
- Gaussian measure

Log-concave & isotropic measures

We call a Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n is *log-concave* if for any compact subsets $A, B \subseteq \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, we have

$$
\mu(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \geq \mu(A)^{\lambda} \mu(B)^{1-\lambda}.
$$

Classical examples:

- uniform measure on any compact, convex set
- Gaussian measure

We say a probability measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n with finite second moments is isotropic if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_i d\mu(x) = 0 \quad \text{ and } \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_i x_j d\mu(x) = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{ for } i, j = 1, \ldots, n.
$$

Thin-shell constant

The thin-shell constant $\sigma_{\mu} > 0$ of an isotropic, log-concave probability measure μ in \mathbb{R}^n is given by

$$
n\sigma_{\mu}^{2}=\text{Var}_{\mu}\left(|x|^{2}\right).
$$

Thin-shell constant

The *thin-shell constant* $\sigma_{\mu} > 0$ of an isotropic, log-concave probability measure μ in \mathbb{R}^n is given by

$$
n\sigma_{\mu}^{2}=\text{Var}_{\mu}\left(|x|^{2}\right).
$$

Furthermore, we define the parameter

$$
\sigma_n = \sup_{\mu} \sigma_{\mu},
$$

where the supremum runs over all isotropic, log-concave probability measure in \mathbb{R}^n . Eldan and Klartag showed that

$$
L_n\lesssim \sigma_n.
$$

Anttila, Ball and Perissinaki 2003

Poincaré constant

The *Poincaré constant* $C_P(\mu)$ of a Borel probability measure μ in \mathbb{R}^n is the smallest constant $C > 0$ such that for any locally Lipschitz function $f\in L^2(\mu)$,

$$
\mathsf{Var}_\mu(f) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f|^2 \, d\mu.
$$

Poincaré constant upper bound on thin-shell parameter

When μ is an isotropic, log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n ,

 $\sigma_n^2 \leq 4 C_P(\mu).$

Proof:

$$
n\sigma_{\mu}^{2} = \text{Var}_{\mu}\left(|x|^{2}\right)
$$

Poincaré constant upper bound on thin-shell parameter

When μ is an isotropic, log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n ,

 $\sigma_n^2 \leq 4 C_P(\mu).$

Proof:

$$
n\sigma_\mu^2 = \mathsf{Var}_\mu\left(|x|^2\right) \,\leq\, C_P(\mu) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |2x|^2\,d\mu(x)
$$

Poincaré constant upper bound on thin-shell parameter

When μ is an isotropic, log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n ,

 $\sigma_n^2 \leq 4 C_P(\mu).$

Proof:

$$
n\sigma_\mu^2 = \textsf{Var}_\mu\left(|x|^2\right) \,\leq\, C_P(\mu) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |2x|^2 \, d\mu(x) = 4n \cdot C_P(\mu)
$$

Cheeger constant

Given a probability measure μ in \mathbb{R}^n with log-concave density ρ , its Cheeger isoperimetric constant is

$$
\frac{1}{\psi_{\mu}} := \inf_{A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\partial A} \rho}{\min \{ \mu(A), 1 - \mu(A) \}} \right\}
$$

where the infimum runs over all open sets $A\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary for which $0 < \mu(A) < 1$. Let $\psi_n := \sup_{u} \psi_u$.

Connection between Cheeger constant and Poincaré constant

Cheeger's inequality (1970)

$$
\frac{1}{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{P}}(\mu)} \geq \frac{1}{4\psi_{\mu}^2}.
$$

Connection between Cheeger constant and Poincaré constant

Cheeger's inequality (1970)

$$
\frac{1}{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{P}}(\mu)} \geq \frac{1}{4\psi_{\mu}^2}.
$$

Buser-Ledoux inequality (2004)

$$
\frac{1}{\psi_\mu} \geq \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{P}}(\mu)}}
$$

Connection between Cheeger constant and Poincaré constant

Cheeger's inequality (1970)

$$
\frac{1}{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{P}}(\mu)} \geq \frac{1}{4\psi_{\mu}^2}.
$$

Buser-Ledoux inequality (2004)

$$
\frac{1}{\psi_\mu} \geq \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{P}}(\mu)}}
$$

When μ is an absolutely-continuous, log-concave probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n , we conclude

$$
\frac{1}{4}\leq \frac{\psi_{\mu}^2}{\mathit{C}_{\mathit{P}}(\mu)}\leq 9.
$$

Creating a chain of constants

Summarizing the previous slides, we have that

$$
L_n \lesssim \sigma_n \lesssim \sqrt{C_P(\mu)} \lesssim \psi_n \lesssim \log n \cdot \sigma_n,
$$

where the supremum runs over all isotropic, log-concave probability measure in \mathbb{R}^n .

The last inequality is due to Eldan, [2013.](#page-35-3)

$$
\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}
$$

Kannan-Lovász-Simonovits (KLS) conjecture

KLS conjecture

There is a universal constant $C > 0$ such that

$$
\psi_n\leq C.
$$

Clearly, the KLS conjecture implies Bourgain's slicing problem by the chain of inequalities

$$
\mathsf{L}_n \lesssim \sigma_n \lesssim \psi_n.
$$

Main result of Klartag and Lehec, [2022](#page-35-0)

Theorem 1.1 of Klartag and Lehec, [2022](#page-35-0)

For any $n > 2$,

$$
\psi_n \leq \tilde{C}(\log n)^5
$$

for some universal constant \tilde{C} .

Main result of Klartag and Lehec, [2022](#page-35-0)

Theorem 1.1 of Klartag and Lehec, [2022](#page-35-0)

For any $n > 2$,

$$
\psi_n \leq \tilde{C}(\log n)^5
$$

for some universal constant \tilde{C} .

Recall

$$
L_n \lesssim \sigma_n \lesssim \psi_n \lesssim \log n \cdot \sigma_n,
$$

Main result of Klartag and Lehec, [2022](#page-35-0)

Theorem 1.1 of Klartag and Lehec, [2022](#page-35-0)

For any $n > 2$,

$$
\psi_n \leq \tilde{C}(\log n)^5
$$

for some universal constant \tilde{C} .

Recall

$$
L_n \lesssim \sigma_n \lesssim \psi_n \lesssim \log n \cdot \sigma_n,
$$

Proof ideas: μ isotropic, log-concave probability measure in \mathbb{R}^n .

- $\bullet\,$ perform spectral decomposition of the $H^{-1}(\mu)$ norm to obtain estimates on σ_{μ} .
- use heat flow argument with Eldan's stochastic localization.

Idea: "tilt" our measure μ by some random hyperplane θ . Eldan, [2013](#page-35-3) Let ρ denote the density of μ . Then let

$$
p_{t,\theta}(x):=\frac{1}{Z(t,\theta)}e^{\langle\theta,x\rangle-t|x|^2/2}\rho(x).
$$

Idea: "tilt" our measure μ by some *random* hyperplane θ . Eldan, [2013](#page-35-3) Let ρ denote the density of μ . Then let

$$
p_{t,\theta}(x):=\frac{1}{Z(t,\theta)}e^{\langle\theta,x\rangle-t|x|^2/2}\rho(x).
$$

The barycenter of $p_{t,\theta}$ is given by

$$
a(t,\theta)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x p_{t,\theta}(x) dx.
$$

Idea: "tilt" our measure μ by some *random* hyperplane θ . Eldan, [2013](#page-35-3) Let ρ denote the density of μ . Then let

$$
p_{t,\theta}(x):=\frac{1}{Z(t,\theta)}e^{\langle \theta,x\rangle-t|x|^2/2}\rho(x).
$$

The barycenter of $p_{t,\theta}$ is given by

$$
a(t,\theta)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x p_{t,\theta}(x) dx.
$$

Now we consider a stochastic process $(\theta_t)_{t\geq0}$ that satisfies

$$
\theta_0 = 0, \quad d\theta_t = dW_t + a(t, \theta_t)dt, \tag{1}
$$

where $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^n .

Idea: "tilt" our measure μ by some *random* hyperplane θ . Eldan, [2013](#page-35-3) Let ρ denote the density of μ . Then let

$$
p_{t,\theta}(x):=\frac{1}{Z(t,\theta)}e^{\langle\theta,x\rangle-t|x|^2/2}\rho(x).
$$

The barycenter of $p_{t,\theta}$ is given by

$$
a(t,\theta)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x p_{t,\theta}(x) dx.
$$

Now we consider a stochastic process $(\theta_t)_{t\geq0}$ that satisfies

$$
\theta_0 = 0, \quad d\theta_t = dW_t + a(t, \theta_t)dt, \tag{1}
$$

where $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^n . By standard arguments, a unique strong solution $(\theta_t)_{t>0}$ to [\(1\)](#page-28-1) exists.

M. Gordin (Princeton University) [Bourgain's slicing problem](#page-0-0) November 1, 2023 17 / 19

Idea: "tilt" our measure μ by some *random* hyperplane θ . Eldan, [2013](#page-35-3) Let ρ denote the density of μ . Then let

$$
p_{t,\theta}(x):=\frac{1}{Z(t,\theta)}e^{\langle\theta,x\rangle-t|x|^2/2}\rho(x).
$$

The barycenter of $p_{t,\theta}$ is given by

$$
a(t,\theta)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x p_{t,\theta}(x) dx.
$$

Now we consider a stochastic process $(\theta_t)_{t\geq0}$ that satisfies

$$
\theta_0 = 0, \quad d\theta_t = dW_t + a(t, \theta_t)dt, \tag{1}
$$

where $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^n . By standard arguments, a unique strong solution $(\theta_t)_{t>0}$ to [\(1\)](#page-28-1) exists. Therefore, we can set:

$$
p_t(x) := p_{t,\theta_t}(x) \quad a_t = a(t,\theta_t).
$$

Stochastic localization continued

Now we obtain the equation for Eldan's stochastic localization

$$
p_0(x) = \rho(x), \quad dp_t(x) = p_t(x)\langle x - a_t, dW_t\rangle.
$$

Stochastic localization continued

Now we obtain the equation for Eldan's stochastic localization

$$
p_0(x) = \rho(x), \quad dp_t(x) = p_t(x)\langle x - a_t, dW_t \rangle.
$$

Corollary 2.5 of Klartag and Lehec, [2022](#page-35-0)

For $t_1 := (C\kappa_n^2 \cdot \log n)^{-1}$, we have for all $t > 0$,

$$
\mathbb{E}|a_t|^2 \leq C_1 n \cdot t \cdot \max\left\{1, \frac{t^3}{t_1^3}\right\}
$$

for $C, C_1 > 0$ universal constants.

References

- Chen, Y. (2021).An almost constant lower bound of the isoperimetric coefficient in the KLS conjecture. Geometric and Functional Analysis, 31, 34–61.
- Eldan, R. (2013).Thin shell implies spectral gap up to polylog via a stochastic localization scheme. Geometric and Functional Analysis, 23(2), 532–569.
- Klartag, B., & Milman, V. (2022). The slicing problem by Bourgain. In Analysis at large: Dedicated to the life and work of Jean Bourgain (pp. 203–231). Springer.
- Klartag, B., & Lehec, J. (2022).Bourgain's slicing problem and KLS isoperimetry up to polylog. Geometric and Functional Analysis, 32(5), 1134–1159.
- Ledoux, M. (2004).Spectral gap, logarithmic sobolev constant, and geometric bounds. Surveys in differential geometry, 9(1), 219–240.